Profit Centers

By Kornél Tóth

profit center

- When a responsibility center's financial performance is measured in terms of profit
 - (i.e., by the difference between the revenues and expenses),
- the **center** is called a **profit center**.
- Profit is a particularly useful performance
 measure
 - since it allows senior management to use one comprehensive indicator rather than several
 - (some of which may be pointing in different directions)

General Considerations

 A *functional organization* is one in which each principal manufacturing or marketing function is performed

– by a **separate** organization **unit**.

- When such an organization is converted to one in which each major unit is responsible for both the manufacture and the marketing,
 - the process is termed *divisionalization*
- companies create business units
 - because they have decided to delegate more authority to operating managers

Conditions for Delegating Profit Responsibility

- Many management decisions involve proposals to increase expenses
 - with the expectation of an even greater increase in sales revenue.
- Such decisions are said to involve expense/revenue trade-offs
 - Additional advertising expense is an example.
- Before it is safe to delegate such a trade-off decision to a lower level manager,
- two conditions should exist:

two conditions

 The manager should have access to the *relevant information* needed for making such a decision.

 2. There should be some way to measure the effectiveness of the trade-offs the manager has made

conditions

- A major step in creating profit centers is to determine the lowest point in an organization
 - where these two **conditions prevail**.
- All **responsibility** centers fit **into** a **continuum** ranging
 - from those thatvclearly should be profit centers
 - to those that clearly should not.
- Management must decide
 - whether the advantages of giving profit responsibility offset the disadvantages
- As with all management control system design choices,
 - there is no clear line of demarcation

Prevalence of Profit Centers

- most companies in the United States remained functionally organized
 - until after the end of World War II.
- Since that time many major U.S. corporations
 - have divisionalized and have decentralized profit responsibility at the business unit level.
- Alfréd P. Sloan (General Motors) and Ralph J. Cordiner (General Electric)
 - have documented the philosophy of divisionalization and profit decentralization.
- In a survey of *Fortune* 1,000 companies
 - in the United States, of the 638 usable responses,
 - 93 percent were from companies that included two or more profit centers

Advantages of Profit Centers

- The quality of decisions may improve
 - because they are being made by managers closest to the point of decision.
- The speed of operating decisions may be increased
- since they do not have to be referred to corporate headquarters.
- Headquarters management, relieved of day-today decision making, can concentrate on broader issues.

Advantages of Profit Centers

- Managers, subject to fewer corporate restraints,
 are freer to use their imagination and initiative.
- Because profit centers are similar to independent companies,
 - they provide an excellent *training* ground for general management.
- Their managers gain experience in managing all functional areas,
- and upper management gains the opportunity to evaluate their potential for higher-level jobs

Advantages of Profit Centers

- Profit consciousness is enhanced since managers who are responsible for profits will constantly seek ways to increase them.
 - (a manager responsible for marketing activities, for example, will tend to authorize promotion expenditures that increase sales,
 - whereas a manager responsible for profits will be motivated to make promotion expenditures that increase profits.)
- **Profit centers** provide top management with **readymade** information on the **profitability** of the company's *individual components.*

Because their output is so readily measured,

 profit centers are particularly responsive to pressures to improve their competitive performance

- Decentralized decision making will force top
 management
 - to rely more on management control reports than on personal knowledge of an operation,
 - entailing some loss of control.
- If headquarters management is more capable or better informed than the average profit center manager,
 - the *quality* of decisions made at the unit level may be *reduced*.

- Friction may increase because of arguments over the appropriate transfer price,
 - the assignment of common costs, and the credit for revenues that were formerly generated jointly by two or more business units working together.
- Organization units that once cooperated as functional units may now be in competition with one another.
 - An increase in profits for one manager may mean a decrease for another.
 - In such situations, a manager may fail to refer sales leads to another business unit better qualified to pursue them;
 - may hoard personnel or equipment that, from the overall company standpoint, would be better off used in another unit;
 - or may make production decisions that have undesirable cost consequences for other units

- Divisionalization may impose additional costs
 - because of the additional management, staff personnel,
 - and record keeping required, and
 - may lead to task redundancies at each profit center
- Competent general managers may not exist in a functional organization
 - because there may not have been sufficient opportunities for them to develop general management competence

- There may be too much emphasis on short-run profitability at the expense of long-run profitability.
- In the desire to report high current profits,
 - the profit center manager may skimp on R&D, training programs, or maintenance.
- This tendency is especially prevalent when the turnover of profit center managers is relatively high.
- In these circumstances, managers may have good reason to believe that their actions
- may not affect profitability until after they have moved to other jobs.

There is no completely satisfactory system for

- ensuring that optimizing the profits of each individual profit center
- will optimize the profits of the company as a whole

Business Units as Profit Centers

Business Units as Profit Centers

- Most business units are created as profit centers since managers in charge of such units typically control
 - product development, manufacturing, and marketing resources.
- These managers are in a position to influence revenues and costs and
 - can be held accountable for the "bottom line."
- a business unit manager's authority may be constrained
- in various ways,
 - which ought to be reflected in a profit center's design and operation.

Constraints on Business Unit Authority

- To realize fully the benefits of the profit center concept,
- the business unit manager would have to be as autonomous
 - as the president of an independent company.
- such **autonomy** is not **feasible**
- If a company were divided into completely independent units,
 - the organization would lose the advantages of size and synergy.

Constraints on Business Unit Authority

- in **delegating** to **business unit** management
 - all the authority that the board of directors has given to the CEO, senior management would be abdicating its own responsibility.
- business unit structures
 - represent trade-offs between business unit autonomy and corporate constraints
- The effectiveness of a business unit organization is largely dependent
 - on how well these trade-offs are made

Constraints from Other Business Units

- One of the main problems occurs when business units must deal with one another.
- It is useful to think of managing a profit center
- in terms of control over three types of decisions:

Constraints from Other Business Units

• (1) the **product** decision

- (what goods or services to make and sell),

- (2) the marketing decision
 - (how, where, and for how much are these goods or services to be sold?), and
- (3) the **procurement** or **sourcing** decision
 - (how to obtain or manufacture the goods or services).

Constraints from Other Business Units

- If a business unit manager Controls all three activities,
 - there is usually no **difficulty** in assigning profit responsibility and measuring performance.
- the greater the degree of integration within a company,
- the more difficult it becomes to assign responsibility to a single profit center

- for all three activities in a given product line;

- The **constraints** imposed by corporate management can be grouped into **three types**:
- (1) those resulting from **strategic considerations**,
- (2) those resulting because uniformity is required, and
- (3) those resulting from the economies of centralization.

- Most companies retain certain decisions, especially financial decisions,
 - at the corporate level, at least for domestic activities.
- one of the major constraints on business units
 - results from corporate control over new **investments**.
- Business units must compete with one another for a share of the available funds

- Thus, a business unit could find its expansion plans thwarted
- because another unit has convinced senior management that it has a more attractive program.
- Corporate management also imposes other constraints.
 - Each business unit has a "charter" that specifies
 - the marketing and/or production activities that it is permitted to undertake, and
 - it must refrain from operating beyond its charter,
 - even though it sees profit opportunities in doing so.
- Also, the maintenance of the proper corporate image may require constraints

- on the quality of products or on public relations activities

- Companies impose some constraints on business units because of the necessity for uniformity.
- One constraint is that business units must conform
 - to corporate accounting and management control systems.
- This constraint is especially troublesome for units that have been acquired from another company
 - and that have been accustomed to using different systems.

uniformity

- Corporate headquarters may also impose uniform
- pay and other personnel policies
- uniform policies on ethics,
- vendor selection,
- computers and communication equipment,
- even the design of the business unit's letterhead

corporate constraints

- corporate constraints do not cause severe problems in a decentralized structure
 - as long as they are dealt with explicitly;
- business unit management should understand
 - the **necessity** for most constraints
 - and should accept them with good grace.
- The major problems seem to revolve – around corporate service activities.
- Often business units believe (sometimes rightly) that
 - they can obtain such services at less expense from an outside source.

Other Profit Centers

(Examples of profit centers, other than business units)

Functional Units

- Multibusiness companies are typically divided into business units,
 - each of which is treated as an independent profitgenerating unit.
- the subunits within these business units, however,
 - may be functionally organized
- It is sometimes desirable to constitute one or more of the functional units
 - e.g., marketing, manufacturing, and service operations
- as profit centers

Functional Units

• There is no guiding principle

 declaring that certain types of units are inherently profit centers and others are not

- Management's decision as to whether a given unit should be a profit center
- is based on the amount of *influence* (even if not total control)
- The unit's manager exercises over the activities that affect the bottom line

Marketing

- A marketing activity can be turned into a profit center
 by charging it with the cost of the products sold.
- This **transfer price** provides the marketing manager
- with the relevant information to make the optimum revenue/cost trade-offs,
- and the standard practice of measuring a profit center's manager by the center's profitability
 - provides a check on how well these trade-offs have been made.

Marketing

 The transfer price charged to the profit center should be based on the standard cost,

- rather than the actual cost, of the products being sold.

- Using a **standard** cost **base**
- separates the marketing cost performance from that of the manufacturing cost performance,
 - which is affected by changes in the levels of efficiency that are beyond the control of the marketing manager.

Marketing

- When should a marketing activity be given profit responsibility?
 - When the marketing manager is in the best position to make the principal cost/revenue trade-offs.
- This often occurs where different conditions exist
- in different geographical areas

 for example, a foreign marketing activity.

cost/revenue trade-offs

- In such an activity,
- it may be difficult to control centrally such decisions as
 - how to **market** a product;
 - how to set the price;
 - how much to spend on sales promotion,
 - when to spend it, and on which media;
 - how to train **salespeople** or **dealers**;
 - where and when to establish new dealers

Manufacturing

- The manufacturing activity is usually an expense center,
 - with the management being judged on performance versus standard costs and overhead budgets
- This measure can cause problems,
 - since it does not necessarily indicate
 - how well the manager is performing all aspects of his job.

Examples

- A manager may skimp on quality control,
 - shipping products of inferior quality in order to obtain standard cost credit.
- A manager may be reluctant to interrupt production schedules
 - in order to produce a rush order to accommodate a customer.
- A manager who is **measured** against **standards**
 - may lack the incentive to manufacture products that are difficult to produce
 - or to **improve** the **standards** themselves.

Manufacturing

- Therefore, where performance of the manufacturing process is measured against standard costs,
- it is advisable to make a separate evaluation of such activities as
 - quality control,
 - production scheduling, and
 - make-or-buy decisions

Manufacturing as a profit center

- One way to measure the activity of a manufacturing organization in its entirety
- is to turn it into a profit center and give it credit for the selling price of the products minus estimated marketing expenses.
- Such an **arrangement** is far from **perfect**,
 - partly because many of the factors that influence the volume
 - and mix of sales are beyond the manufacturing manager's control.
- However, it **seems** to **work better** in some **cases**
 - than the alternative of holding the manufacturing operation responsible only for costs

Manufacturing

- Some authors maintain that manufacturing units should not be made into profit centers
 - unless they sell a large portion of their output to outside customers;
- they regard units that sell primarily to other business units as *pseudo* profit centers
 - on the grounds that the revenues assigned to them for sales to other units within the company are artificial.
- Some companies, nevertheless, do create profit centers for such units.
 - They **believe** that,
 - if properly designed, the system can create almost the same incentives as those provided by sales to outside customers.

Service and Support Units

- Units for maintenance, information technology, transportation, engineering,
- Consulting, customer service, and similar support activities

- can all be made into **profit centers**.

- These may operate out of headquarters and service
 - corporate divisions,
 - or they may fulfill similar functions within business units.
- They charge customers for services rendered,
 - with the financial objective of generating enough business so that their revenues equal their expenses.

examples

needer Captore	Method of Determining Charge (by percent)				
Administrative Service Category	Percent of Firms That Charge*	Usage (actual or estimated)	Prorated	Other	
1. Finance and accounting	73%	35%	54%	11%	
2. Legal	70	35	55	10	
3. Electronic data processing	87	63	29	8	
4. General marketing services	73	35	56	9	
5. Advertising	72	50	41	9	
6. Market research services	70	36	54	10	
7. Public relations	63	24	62	14	
8. Industrial relations	70	32	56	12	
9. Personnel	70	35	53	12	
10. Real estate	62	37	53	10	
11. Operations research department	60	47	42	11	
12. Purchasing department	51	40	51	9	
13. Top corporate management overhead	63	13	72	15	
14. Corporate planning department	61	20	66	14	

Service and Support Units

- When service units are organized as profit centers,
 - their managers are motivated to control costs
 - in order to prevent customers from going elsewhere
- while managers of the receiving units
 - are motivated to make decisions about
 - whether using the service is worth the price

Other Organizations

- A company with branch **operations** that are **responsible** for **marketing** the company's products
 - in a particular geographical area
- is often a natural for a profit center.
- Even though the branch managers have no manufacturing or procurement responsibilities,
 - profitability is often the best single measure of their performance.
- Furthermore, the profit **measurement** is an excellent **motivating** device.

Examples:

- the individual **stores** of most retail **chains**,
- the individual **restaurants** in **fast-food** chains, and the
- individual hotels in hotel chains are profit centers.

- There are two types of profitability measurements used in evaluating a profit center,
 - just as there are in evaluating an organization as a whole.
- First, there is the measure of *management performance*,
 - which focuses on how well the manager is doing.
 - This measure is used for planning, coordinating, and
 - Controlling the profit center's day-to-day activities and
 - as a device for **providing** the proper motivation for its manager

- Second, there is the **measure** of **economic performance**,
 - which focuses on how well the profit center is doing as an economic entity.
- The messages conveyed by these two measures may be quite different from each other.
- For example,
- the management performance report for a branch store
 - May show that the store's manager is doing an excellent job under the circumstances,
 - while the economic performance report may indicate that because of economic and competitive conditions
 - in its area the store is a losing proposition and should be closed

- The necessary information for both purposes usually
 cannot be obtained from a single set of data.
- Because the management report is used frequently,
 - while the economic report is prepared only on those occasions when economic decisions must be made,
- considerations relating to management performance

measurement

- have first **priority** in systems design
 - that is, the system should be designed to measure management performance routinely,
- with economic information being derived from these performance reports
 - as well as from other sources

Types of Profitability Measures

- A profit center's economic performance
- is always **measured** by net **income**
 - (i.e., the income **remaining** after all **costs**,
 - including a fair share of the corporate overhead,
 - have been allocated to the profit center).

Profitability Measures

- The **performance** of the profit center manager may be evaluated by five different measures of **profitability**:
- (1) contribution margin,
- (2) direct profit,
- (3) controllable profit,
- (4) income before income taxes, or
- (5) net income.

Profit center income statement

		Profitability Measure
Revenue	\$1,000	
Cost of sales	600	
Variable expenses	180	
Contribution margin	220	(1)
Fixed expenses incurred in the profit center	90	
Direct profit	130	(2)
Controllable corporate charges	10	
Controllable profit	120	(3)
Other corporate allocations	20	
Income before taxes	100	(4)
Taxes	40	
Net income	\$ 60	(5)

Percentages of Companies Using Different Methods of Measuring Profit

Types of Expenses Charged to the Profit Center	United States ^a	Holland ^b	Indiac
Depreciation charge	98%	96%	98%
Fixed expense incurred in the profit center	99	N/A	N/A
Corporate general and administrative expenses allocated to			
the profit center	64	44	N/A
Income tax expense	40	22	10

(1) Contribution Margin

- Contribution margin reflects the spread
 between revenue and variable expenses.
- The principal argument in favour of using it
 - to measure the performance of profit center managers is that
 - since fixed expenses are beyond their control,
 - managers should focus their attention on maximizing contribution

(1) Contribution Margin

- The problem with this argument is that its premises are inaccurate;
 - in fact, almost all fixed expenses are at least partially controllable by the manager, and
 - some are entirely controllable
- Presumably,
 - senior management wants the profit center to keep these diserctionary expenses
 - in line with **amounts** agreed on in the budget **formulation process**.
- A focus on the contribution margin tends to direct attention away from this responsibility.
- even if an expense,
 - such as **administrative** salaries
- cannot be changed in the short run, the profit center manager is still responsible for controlling employees' efficiency and productivity

(2) Direct Profit

- This measure reflects a profit center's contribution to the general overhead and profit of the Corporation.
 - it incorporates all expenses
 - either incurred by or directly traceable to the profit center,
 - **regardless** of whether or not these items are **within** the profit **center** manager's **control**.
- Expenses incurred at headquarters, are not included in this calculation.
- A weakness of the direct profit measure is that
 - it does not recognize the motivational benefit of charging headquarters costs

(3) Controllable Profit

- Headquarters expenses can be divided into two categories:
 - controllable and noncontrollable
- The former category includes **expenses** that are **controllable**,
- at least to a **degree**,
 - by the business unit manager information technology services,
 - If these costs are included in the measurement system,
 - profit will be what remains after the deduction of all expenses
 - that may be influenced by the profit center manager.
- A major **disadvantage** of this measure is that
 - because it excludes noncontrollable headquarters expenses
 - it cannot be directly compared
 - with either **published data** or trade association data reporting
 - the profits of other companies in the industry.

- In this measure, all corporate overhead is allocated to profit centers
 - based on the relative amount of expense each profit center incurs.
- There are two **arguments** against such **allocations**.
- First, since the costs incurred by corporate staff departments
 - such as finance, accounting, and human resource management are not controllable by profit center managers,
 - these managers should not be held accountable for them.
- Second, it may be difficult to allocate corporate staff
- services in a manner that would properly reflect the amount of costs incurred by each profit center.

- There are, however, three arguments in favor of incorporating a portion of corporate overhead into the profit centers' performance reports.
- First, corporate service units have a tendency to increase their power base and to enhance their own excellence
 - without regard to their effect on the company as a whole.
- Allocating corporate overhead costs to profit centers
 - increases the likelihood that profit center managers will question these costs,
 - thus serving to keep head office spending in check.

- Second, the performance of each profit center will become
 - more realistic and more readily comparable to the performance of competitors who pay for similar services.
- Finally, when managers know that their respective centers will not show a profit unless all costs,
 - including the allocated share of corporate overhead, are recovered,
 - they are motivated to make optimum long-term marketing decisions as to pricing,
 - product mix, and so forth, that will ultimately benefit
 - (and even ensure the viability of) the company as a whole

- If profit centers are to be charged for a portion of corporate overhead,
 - this item should be calculated on the basis of budgeted, rather than actual costs,
- This ensures that
 - profit center managers will not complain about
 either the arbitrariness of the allocation or
 - their lack of control over these costs,
- since their performance reports will show no variance in the overhead allocation

(5) Net Income

- Here, companies measure the performance of domestic profit centers
 - according to the bottom line, the amount of net income after income tax.
- There are two principal arguments against using this measure:
- (1) aftertax income is often a constant percentage of the pretax income,
 - in which case there would be **no advantage** in incorporating income taxes, and
- (2) **since** many of the **decisions** that **affect income** taxes are **made** at **headquarters**,
 - it is not appropriate to judge profit center managers on the consequences of these decisions

(5) Net Income

- There are situations, however, in which the effective income tax rate does vary among profit centers.
- For example, foreign subsidiaries or business units
 - with foreign operations may have different effective income tax rates.
- In other cases, **profit centers** may **influence**
 - Income taxes through their installment credit policies,
 - their decisions on acquiring or disposing of equipment, and
 - their use of other generally accepted accounting procedures to distinguish gross income from taxable income.
- In these situations, it may be desirable
 - to allocate income tax expenses to profit centers not only
 - to measure their economic profitability
 - but also to **motivate managers** to **minimize tax** liability

Revenues

- Choosing the appropriate revenue recognition method is important.
- Should revenues be recorded when an order is made, when an order is shipped, or when cash is received?
- In addition to that decision,
 - there are other **issues** relating to **common revenues** that may require **consideration**.
- In some situations two or more profit centers may participate in a successful sales effort;
- ideally, each center should be given appropriate credit for its part in the transaction

Revenues-example

- a salesperson from Business Unit A may be the main company contact with a certain customer,
 - but the orders the customer places with that salesperson may be for products carried by Business Unit B.
- The Unit A salesperson would not be likely
 - to pursue such orders if all resulting
 revenues were credited to Unit B

Revenues-example

- Many companies do not devote a great deal of attention to solving these common revenue problems.
 - They take the position that the identification of precise responsibility for revenue generation is too complicated to be practical,
 - And that sales personnel must recognize they are working not only for their own profit center but also for the overall good of the company.
- Other companies attempt to untangle the responsibility for common sales by crediting the business unit
 - that takes an order for a product handled by another unit with the equivalent of a brokerage commission or a finder's fee